

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-25-0355-PR

MONTELENA VILLAS HOA v DEBORAH MINAMYER

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 23-Dec-2025 Archive on: 7-May-2036 (planned)
 Case Closed: 7-May-2026

Dept/Composition

Side 1. MONTELENA VILLAS HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant/Appellee

(Litigant Group) MONTELENA VILLAS HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC

- Montelena Villas Homeowners Association Inc

Attorneys for: Plaintiff/CounterDefendant/Appellee

Nikita V Patel, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25803)

Charlene A Cruz, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 26692)

Side 2. DEBORAH MICHELE MINAMYER, Defendant/Counterclaimant/Appellant

(Litigant Group) DEBORAH MICHELLE MINAMYER

- Deborah Michele Minamyer

PRO SE

CASE STATUS

May 7, 2026.....Case Closed

May 7, 2026.....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CV 25-0409				
↳ MAR	CV2022-001790		John L Blanchard, Trial Comments: (none)		

CASE DECISION

07-May-2026 ORDER

ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

Filed: **07-May-2026**

Mandate:

A panel composed of Justice Bolick, Justice Montgomery, Justice King and Justice Cruz participated in the determination of this matter.

Decision Disposition
<i>Denied</i>

4 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 23-Dec-2025 FILED: Petition for Review; Consent for Electronic Distribution by the Supreme Court Clerk (Appellant Minamyer, Pro Se)
- 8-Jan-2026 FILED: Record From Cofa: Link to Electronic Record
- 9-Jan-2026 The record provided by the Court of Appeals and the Maricopa County Superior Court reflects a court order finding Appellant Minamyer, Pro Se, eligible for a Waiver of fees. Under Arizona Revised Statutes § 12-302(I), notice is hereby provided that the fee Waiver in Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2022-001790 and Court of Appeals Division 2 Case No. 2 CA-CV 25-0409 for Appellant Minamyer, Pro Se, has been continued. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
- 7-May-2026 ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

A panel composed of Justice Bolick, Justice Montgomery, Justice King and Justice Cruz participated in the determination of this matter.
